## Percentage Distribution of the Snap Survey of "Views on Exchange Visits by the Heads of the Competent Authorities for

## Cross-Strait Affairs and on Cross-Strait Relations"1

Survey Date: October 16 to 18, 2015 Number of Effective Samples: 1,069

Sampling Error: ± 3.00% based on a 95% confidence level

Survey Commissioned by: Mainland Affairs Council, Executive Yuan

Survey Unit: Taiwan Real Survey Co., Ltd.

1. The government is promoting direct interaction and exchanges between officials across the Strait to increase understanding between the two sides and reduce misjudgment of the cross-strait situation. Do you support or not support the government's approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
8.6%	44.0%	12.5%	5.9%	28.9%
52.6%		18.	4%	28.9%

not equal 100%. The same calculation method was adopted in the following tables without separate indication thereof.

Note: The survey results are weighted, with percentage calculations to two decimal places and rounding to the last decimal place. The sum of the individual percentages may therefore

2. Mainland Affairs Council (MAC) Minister Andrew Hsia and Director Zhang Zhijun of the Mainland's Taiwan Affairs Office (TAO) pragmatically exchanged views on cross-strait issues during their meeting. Do you think this is conducive or not conducive to the handling of cross-strait affairs?

Very conducive	Conducive	Unconducive	Entirely unconducive	Don't know / no opinion
7.1%	46.0%	22.5%	5.8%	18.6%
53.1%		28.	3%	18.6%

3. During the meeting between MAC Minister Andrew Hsia and TAO Director Zhang Zhijun, the two sides reiterated that institutionalized cross-strait relations would be developed based on the "1992 Consensus." Do you identify with the government's position on the "1992 Consensus" of "one China with respective interpretations," in which one China means the Republic of China (ROC)?

Strongly identify	Identify	Do not identify	Strongly do not identify	Don't know / no opinion
13.5%	42.8%	21.0%	6.8%	15.9%
56.3%		27.	8%	15.9%

4. During the meeting, the Minister of the MAC made a solemn statement to the Mainland on its failure to fully communicate with Taiwan and comprehensively announce its implementation of the card-type "Mainland Travel Permit for Taiwan Residents" (card-type Taiwan Compatriot Travel Certificate). Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
21.7%	46.6%	12.6%	4.4%	14.6%
68	3%	17.	0%	14.6%

5. Do you approve or disapprove the position that, on significant measures involving both sides of the Strait, the two sides should respect each other and strengthen communication and coordination between them through the established MAC-TAO liaison mechanism?

Ctronaly approxi	Annrova	Dicanners	Strongly	Don't know / no
Strongly approve	Approve	Disapprove	disapprove	opinion

23.9%	61.3%	4.6%	1.8%	8.4%
85.	2%	6.4	1%	8.4%

6. At the meeting, the Taiwan side proposed that both sides strengthen monitoring and implementation related to cross-strait issues through the MAC-TAO liaison mechanism. Do you approve or disapprove this approach?

Strongly approve	Approve	Disapprove	Strongly disapprove	Don't know / no opinion
17.4%	63.0%	5.8%	1.7%	12.1%
80.4%		7.5	5%	12.1%

7. The two sides agreed to promptly communicate and pragmatically handle unresolved problems related to the establishment of SEF-ARATS reciprocal institutions to promptly complete negotiations and serve the people on both sides. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
21.3%	59.5%	6.8%	2.6%	9.8%
80.8%		9.4	1%	9.8%

8. The two sides agreed to continue promoting negotiations on the Cross-Strait Trade in Goods Agreement, aiming to achieve a consensus and conclusion by year-end. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
16.7%	49.2%	14.2%	6.2%	13.6%
65.9%		20.	4%	13.6%

9. The two sides agreed to accelerate communication between two sides for the signing of the cross-strait environmental protection agreement. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
21.9%	59.6%	6.2%	2.8%	9.5%
81.5%		9.0	)%	9.5%

10. The two sides will facilitate a meeting between the SEF and the ARATS to reflect upon implementation efficiency of signed agreements so as to protect the interests and well-being of the public. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
19.3%	57.5%	9.1%	3.2%	10.9%
76.8%		12.	3%	10.9%

11. At the meeting, the Taiwan side expressed hope to the Mainland side that Mainland tourist transits in Taiwan (allowing Mainland tourists to transfer to other countries or regions from Taiwan) could be promptly implemented to facilitate public transfers. Do you approve or disapprove this approach?

Strongly approve	Approve	Disapprove	Strongly disapprove	Don't know / no opinion
12.1%	41.4%	22.3%	9.9%	14.4%
53.5%		32.:	2%	14.4%

12. In order to objectively and impartially restore historical facts of the war, the Taiwan side proposed that private organizations on the two sides could jointly conduct research on historical records under the principle of "equality and mutual benefit, open files, no restricted areas, and free research." Do you agree or disagree with this approach?

66.1%		18.1%		15.8%
16.4%	49.7%	14.1%	4.0%	15.8%
Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know / no opinion

13. An itinerary of deeply historic significance was arranged for the Minister of the MAC during this visit, highlighting the reality of the ROC existence. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
20.5%	50.2%	12.2%	3.4%	13.7%
70.7%		15.6%		13.7%

14. The Minister of the MAC visited Taiwan students studying in the Mainland and Taiwanese enterprises invested in the Mainland to express care for them. Do you support or not support this approach?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
19.9%	58.9%	9.5%	2.0%	9.6%
78.8%		11.5%		9.6%

15. Do you support or not support the continuous handling of cross-strait exchange issues through institutionalized negotiations between the two sides?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
24.7%	57.8%	7.0%	1.7%	8.8%
82.5%		8.7%		8.8%

16. Do you support or not support continuous promotion of normalized official interactions across the Strait and the development of institutionalized cross-strait relations?

Strongly support	Support	Not support	Strongly do not support	Don't know / no opinion
24.0%	56.6%	8.7%	2.5%	8.3%
80.6%		11.2%		8.3%